

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/815,275	04/01/2004	Dale Barron	MUELL40	8376		
6980 7	7590 01/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP			DUNWOODY	DUNWOODY, AARON M		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA, SUITE 5200 600 PEACHTREE STREET, NE		3200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	GA 30308-2216		3679			

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				•			
	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,27	75	BARRON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Aaron M C		3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and wi te, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be time utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/1/	/2004						
	is action is n	on-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	,—						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-17 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from coi						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	- , .		` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been ority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National	Stage ·			
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/2/2004. 	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed 9/2/2004 is being considered by the examiner.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The declaration or application data sheet does not acknowledge the filing of provisional application 60/459,853. A new oath, declaration or application data sheet is required in the body of which the present application should be identified by application number and filing date.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the sealing member comprising a pair of O-rings must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The disclosure recites, "complementary surface 48" and "plurality of gripping members or teeth (42, 44, 46, and48)"; however, both statements cannot be correct.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-9, 12, 14-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 5803513, Richardson.

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claim 1, Richardson discloses a pipe coupling device comprising:

a sleeve (42) having an end adapted for receiving a pipe, the end of the sleeve having a sealing cavity defined between the outer surface of the pipe and the interior surface of the end;

a sealing gasket adapted for arrangement within the sealing cavity of the sleeve for compression into sealing engagement with the pipe;

a gripper ring (50) adapted for encircling the pipe, the gripper ring having a radial inner surface having a plurality of gripping members for gripping the pipe upon coaxial compression of the gripper ring;

an intermediate ring (54) adapted for encircling the pipe between the sealing gasket and the gripper ring, the intermediate ring having a restraining member (90) adapted for restraining the gripper ring to prevent the gripping members of the gripper ring from gripping the pipe until after the sealing gasket is compressed into sealing engagement with the pipe;

a locking ring (48) adapted for communication with the gripper ring; and at least two tightening members (68, 66) adapted for connecting the sleeve and the locking ring to compressibly secure the gripper ring, the intermediate ring, and the sealing gasket to the pipe, such that upon the tightening of the tightening members the sealing gasket is compressed into sealing engagement followed by the gripping of the pipe by the gripper ring.

In regards to claim 3, Richardson discloses the gripping members comprising inwardly extending teeth members.

In regards to claim 4, Richardson discloses the teeth members extending radially inwardly to different heights.

In regards to claim 5, Richardson discloses the sleeve further comprising a flange having at least two bore holes defining apertures for receiving the fastener members.

In regards to claim 6, Richardson discloses the locking ring including at least two bore holes defining apertures for receiving the fastener members.

In regards to claim 7, Richardson discloses the fastening members comprising bolts and nuts.

In regards to claim 8, Richardson discloses the locking ring having a radial inner slanted surface which surrounds and abuts the gripper ring.

In regards to claim 9, Richardson discloses the gripper ring having a radial exterior surface, a portion of which is complementary to the radial inner slanted surface of the locking ring.

In regards to claim 12, Richardson discloses a pipe coupling device comprising:

a sleeve having an enlarged end, the enlarged end having a first internal diameter and a slanting wall leading to a smaller second internal diameter adapted for receiving a pipe, wherein a sealing cavity is defined between the outer surface of the pipe and the first internal diameter of the enlarged end;

a compressible sealing gasket adapted for arrangement within the sealing cavity of the sleeve for compression into sealing engagement with the pipe;

Page 6

a gripper ring adapted for encircling the pipe, the gripper ring having a radial inner gripping surface with a predetermined inner diameter and a longitudinally extending slot to accommodate the subsequent reduction of the inner diameter of the gripper ring and gripping of the pipe by the gripping surface upon coaxial compression of the gripper ring;

an intermediate ring adapted for encircling the pipe between the compressible sealing gasket and the gripper ring, the intermediate ring having a restraining member adapted for restraining the slot of the gripper ring to prevent the subsequent reduction of the inner diameter of the gripper ring until after the compressible sealing gasket is compressed into sealing engagement with the pipe:

a locking ring adapted for communication with the gripper ring; and at least two tightening members adapted for connecting the sleeve and the locking ring to compressibly secure the gripper ring, the intermediate ring, and the sealing gasket to the pipe, such that upon the tightening of the tightening members the compressible sealing gasket is compressed into sealing engagement followed by the gripping of the pipe by the gripper ring.

In regards to claim 14, Richardson discloses the gripping surface comprising a plurality of gripping teeth extending radially inwardly.

In regards to claim 15, Richardson discloses the plurality of teeth extending to different heights.

In regards to claim 16, Richardson discloses the fastening members comprising bolts and nuts.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,275

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claim 17, Richardson discloses a restraining assembly for a compression type pipe coupling, the pipe coupling having a sleeve adapted for receiving a pipe, a sealing gasket adapted for arrangement within the sleeve for compression into sealing engagement with the pipe, a gripper ring adapted for encircling and gripping the pipe upon coaxial compression of the gripper ring, a locking ring adapted for communication with the gripper ring, and at least two tightening members adapted for connecting the sleeve and the locking ring to compressibly secure the gripper ring and the sealing gasket to the pipe, wherein the restraining assembly comprises:

Page 7

an intermediate ring adapted for encircling the pipe between the sealing gasket and the gripper ring;

a restraining member carried by the intermediate ring, the restraining member adapted for restraining the gripper ring to prevent the gripper ring from gripping the pipe until after the sealing gasket is compressed into sealing engagement with the pipe;

wherein upon the tightening of the tightening members the sealing gasket is compressed into sealing engagement followed by the gripping of the pipe by the gripper ring.

In regards to claim 19, Richardson discloses the intermediate ring and the restraining member being one integral member.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson.

In regards to claim 10, Richardson discloses the claimed invention except for the sealing gasket having a cross sectional shape of a filled figure eight. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the sealing gasket with a cross sectional shape of a filled figure eight, since a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson in view of US patent 6371531, Robison.

In regards to claim 11, Richardson discloses the claimed invention except for the sealing gasket comprising a pair of O-rings. Robison teaches a sealing gasket comprising a pair of O-rings (22, 24) provided for sealing between the coupling body and the pipe (col. 2, lines 65-66). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate a sealing gasket with a

Art Unit: 3679

pair of O-rings which provided for sealing between the coupling body and the pipe, as taught by Robison.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 13 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure because it illustrates the inventive concept of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M Dunwoody whose telephone number is 703-306-3436. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P Stodola can be reached on 703-306-5771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,275

Art Unit: 3679

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aaron M Dunwoody

Page 10

Examiner Art Unit 3679

.amd